

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003

				1 Y	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,458	04/26/2001	Steven J. Tallarida	STD 00.02	8003	
75	590 08/27/2003				
HAYES, SOLOWAY, HENNESSEY,			EXAMINER		
GROSSMAN & HAGE, P.C. 130 W. Cushing Street			THANH,	THANH, LOAN H	
Tucson, AZ 8:	5701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ.Κ					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/842,458	TALLARIDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI STAULING DATE STALL	LoAn H. Thanh	3763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	<u> April 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
Application Papers	election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority document. 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has be	en received.					
Attachment(s)	, ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to an access port, classified in class 604, subclass
 93.01.
- II. Claims 8-10, drawn to a method of performing hemodialysis, classified in class 604, subclass 4.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as a balloon catheter.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3763

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

During a telephone conversation with Attorney Placker on 08/22/03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-10 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

An action on the merits now follows.

Priority

Applicant is requested to insert a claim to priority of the provisional application 60/199714 in the first paragraph of the specification.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, titanium insert (claim 4), and a manifold (claim 7) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 3763

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There is no support for the units of the durometer in the specification (or the claims). Applicant is reminded that any amendment in response to this cannot include new matter.

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: There is a lack of support for the titanium insert to be covering the at least a portion of the bottom portion. This lack of support gives rise to whether the titanium insert is the same as the spring mechanism or in addition thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim 2 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what "between 30 and 55" is intending to claim. It is unclear what unit of the durometer scale applicant is intending to claim. For the purpose of art rejection, the Examiner is considering the durometer to be Shore A hardness.

Claim 7 is vague and indefinite because "said ports" lacks proper antecedent basis in lines 1-2 of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3-7 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Powers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,833,654).

Powers et al. disclose a hemodialysis port having a housing defining a plurality of interconnected chambers and a septum attached to the sidewall portions and inasmuch as applicant has shown a spring mechanism, Powers et al. does as well. The spring mechanism is the pressure that the walls are applying force to the septum. See figs. 7, 9-11,13. The titanium insert (32) is seen in Figs. 5-6.) Applicant has failed to recite any structural distinguishing features to the spring mechanism which would prevent the Examiner from interpreting the claim in the broadest interpreting.

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Powers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,833,654) in view of Eliasen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,213,973).

Powers et al. teach all the limitations of the claims except for the durometer of the septum. Eliasen et al. teach an access port in the same field of endeavor. Eliasen et al. teach the septum having a material with a durometer of between 30 and 55. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the septum durometer as taught by Eliasen et al. in order to provide a septum which seals the target aperture and enables repeated selective access to the reservoir without compromising the septum.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (703) 305-0038. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to alternating Fridays (7:00 am-4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 3763

Page 7

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

LoAn H. Thanh

Examiner Art Unit 3763

LT